arXiv:1704.00218v1 [math.AG] 1 Apr 2017

VARIETIES WITH AMPLE TANGENT SHEAVES

PHILIP SIEDER

ABSTRACT. This paper generalises Mori's famous theorem about "Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles" [Mor79] to normal projective varieties in the following way:

A normal projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with ample tangent sheaf is isomorphic to the complex projective space.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we give a proof for the following theorem.

Main Theorem. *A normal projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with ample tangent sheaf is isomorphic to the projective space.*

We work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Besides that restriction, the theorem is a generalisation to singular varieties of Mori's famous result.

Theorem ([Mor79])**.** *An n-dimensional projective manifold X over an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ with ample tangent bundle is isomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{K}}$.*

Mori's work has been generalised over the years in various ways, for example by Andreatta and Wiśniewski [AW01]: For X being $\mathbb{P}_n$ it suffices that $\mathcal{T}_X$ contains an ample subbundle. This has been further generalised by Aprodu, Kebekus and Peternell [AKP08, Section 4]. They add the assumption that X has Picard number 1, but an ample subsheaf (not necessarily locally free) of $\mathcal{T}_X$ then induces $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_n$. Kebekus [Keb02] even characterises $\mathbb{P}_n$ only by using the anticanonical degree of all curves being greater than n. All these efforts, besides Ballico's article [Bal93], keep the preliminary that X is smooth. Ballico's paper on the other hand treats mainly positive characteristic, as he requires the tangent sheaf to be locally free. Which, the Zariski-Lipman conjecture suggests, is most likely never the case over the complex numbers, if X is singular.

Outline of our proof. We consider a special desingularisation $\hat{X}$ of the given variety X of dimension ≥ 2 (normal curves are smooth) and prove that $\hat{X}$ is the projective space. As $\mathbb{P}_n$ is minimal, X itself is already the projective space. To show that $\hat{X}$ is the projective space, we combine two strong results.

First, we relate $\mathcal{T}_X$ to $\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$: For a suitable desingularisation $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$, there is a morphism $f : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ that is an isomorphism outside $\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X))$ (Theorem 3.2).

Secondly, we use a corollary given by Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron [CMSB02, Corollary 0.4 (11)] that Kebekus [Keb02] later proved directly (although he claims a weaker result): A uniruled manifold $\hat{X}$ is isomorphic to the projective space, if the anticanonical degree $-K_{\hat{X}}.\hat{C}$ is greater or equal $n+1$ for all rational curves $\hat{C}$ through a general point p. The uniruledness of $\hat{X}$ follows from the negativity of $K_{\hat{X}}$ and the anticanonical degree is calculated using the splitting of $\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}|_{\hat{C}}$ on the normalisation of $\hat{C}$ (Lemma 3.3). Hence $\hat{X} \simeq \mathbb{P}_n \simeq X$.

2. Preliminaries

Let us first recall the definition of the tangent sheaf for a proper variety, as it is a central term in this paper.

Definition 2.1 (tangent sheaf)**.** Let X be a algebraic variety, then its *tangent sheaf* $\mathcal{T}_X := \mathcal{H}om(\Omega^1_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the dual of the cotangent sheaf.

We want to work on a desingularisation $\hat{X}$ of the normal variety X, so we have to connect $\mathcal{T}_X$ with $\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$:

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a normal projective variety with tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_X$. Then there is a desingularisation $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$ and an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{T}_X \to \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}.$$

Proof. Graf and Kovács [GK14, Theorem 4.2] state that there is a resolution $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$ such that $\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ is reflexive. The sheaves $\mathcal{T}_X$ and $\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ are reflexive, X is normal and π is an isomorphism outside the preimage of a set of codimension 2. Thus we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_X \to \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$. □

Remark. For a more thorough understanding of the map $\mathcal{T}_X \to \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ and the resolution π, see the paper of Greb, Kebekus and Kovács [GKK10, Section 4].

The most cited definition for ample sheaves is in Ancona's paper [Anc82]. He defines ampleness and provides some equivalent characterisations, but gives very few properties. Kubota [Kub70] on the other hand works over graded $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules and gives some properties, but does not use the most modern language.

So we recall a definition and the most important properties we use throughout this work.

Definition 2.3 (ample sheaf)**.** Let X be a proper algebraic variety and $\mathcal{E}$ a coherent sheaf on X. Then we say $\mathcal{E}$ is *ample* if for every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X: $\mathcal{F} \otimes S^n\mathcal{E}$ is globally generated for $n = n(\mathcal{F}) \gg 0$.

Remark. Other characterisations of ampleness can be found in [Anc82]. Note that an ample sheaf, unlike an ample vector bundle, on a proper variety X does not yield that its support is projective, but only Moishezon [GPR94, Remark p. 244].

The following properties can be found in Debarre's paper [Deb06, Section 2] or the proof in the vector bundle case (as in [Laz04]) carries over to coherent sheaves:

Proposition 2.4. *Let X and Y be normal projective varieties, $f : X \to Y$ a finite morphism, $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ $\mathcal{O}_X$-module sheaves and $\mathcal{E}$ ample, then*

(1) $f^*\mathcal{E}$ is ample (in particular restrictions of ample sheaves are ample)
(2) every quotient of $\mathcal{E}$ is ample
(3) $\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2$ is ample if and only if $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ are both ample

Proposition 2.5 ([Laz04, 6.4.17])**.** *Let C be a smooth curve and $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ vector bundles on C. If $\mathcal{E}$ is ample and there is a homomorphism $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$, surjective outside of finitely many points, then $\mathcal{F}$ is ample.*

We need one further result which is, besides Theorem 2.2, the main ingredient for our result:

Theorem 2.6 ([CMSB02, Corollary 0.4 (11)])**.** *A uniruled projective complex manifold X of dimension n with a dense open subspace U such that for all $p \in U$ and all rational curves C through p the inequality $-K_X.C \geq n + 1$ holds, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_n$.*

3. Projective varieties with ample tangent sheaves

Now we get to the main result of the paper:

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a normal projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension n with ample tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_X$, then*

$$X \simeq \mathbb{P}_n.$$

Before proving the main theorem we have to adapt the results given in Section 2.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a normal projective variety, then there is a desingularisation $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$ and an $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$-module homomorphism*

$$f : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$$

that is an isomorphism outside $\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X))$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.2, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_X \to \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ for a suitable resolution $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$. The map π is an isomorphism outside $\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X))$ (one has to retrace the resolution to [Kol07, Theorem 3.45] for this property). Pulling back $\mathcal{T}_X \to \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ and using the natural morphism c, there is the diagram

$$\pi^*\mathcal{T}_X \xrightarrow{g} \pi^*\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}, \qquad f : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}.$$

Considering the maps g and c, it is easy to check that they, and therefore f, are isomorphisms outside $\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X))$. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n with ample tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_X$ and $C \subset X$ a closed curve that intersects $\mathrm{Sing}(X)$ in at most finitely many points. Let $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$ be a desingularisation as in Theorem 3.2, $\hat{C}$ the strict transform of C and $\eta : \tilde{C} \to \hat{C}$ the normalisation of $\hat{C}$. Accordingly, there is the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \hat{C} & \longrightarrow & C \\ & \searrow^{\nu} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

Then $\nu^*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ is an ample vector bundle and the anticanonical degree $-K_{\hat{X}}.\hat{C}$ is positive. If $\hat{C}$ is a rational curve, $-K_{\hat{X}}.\hat{C} \geq n+1$.

Proof. The choice of π yields the map $f : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_X \to \mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$. Pulling back f via ν and dividing out the kernel gives

$$\overline{\nu^* f} : \mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \nu^*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$$

with $\mathcal{A} := {\nu^*\pi^*\mathcal{T}_X}/{\ker(\nu^* f)}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is ample, since $\mathcal{T}_X$ is ample, $\pi\circ\nu$ is finite and quotients of ample sheaves are ample again. Moreover $\mathcal{A}$ is locally free of rank n because it is a torsion-free sheaf on a smooth curve, $\pi \circ \nu$ is an isomorphism outside of finitely many points and $\ker(\nu^* f)$ is supported on only finitely many points. Using Proposition 2.5, we deduce that $\nu^*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ is an ample vector bundle. Because $-K_{\hat{X}}.\hat{C} = \deg \nu^*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$, the anticanonical degree is certainly positive. Since $\nu^*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$ splits on $\mathbb{P}_1$ and a direct sum of ample vector bundles is ample only if all summands are ample, we obtain $\nu^*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(a_i)$ with $a_i \geq 1$ for all i. The dual of the homomorphism $\nu^*\Omega^1_{\hat{X}} \to \Omega^1_{\tilde{C}}$ is a non-trivial map $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(2) \to \nu^*\mathcal{T}_{\hat{X}}$. Thus $a_i \geq 2$ for at least one i and we can conclude $-K_{\hat{X}}.\hat{C} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \geq n+1$. □

Now we use Lemma 3.3 to show that the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 are fulfilled for $\hat{X}$ and hence X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_n$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Normal curves are smooth, so we can assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $\pi : \hat{X} \to X$ be a desingularisation as in Lemma 3.3 and let $p \in \hat{X} \setminus \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(X))$ be any general point outside the exceptional locus.
Since $\hat{X}$ is projective, there is an irreducible curve $\hat{C}$ through p. As $\hat{C}$ is the strict transform of a closed curve $C \subset X$, $K_{\hat{X}}.\hat{C} < 0$ according to Lemma 3.3. Therefore $\hat{X}$ is uniruled by [MM86, Theorem 1].

Any rational curve $\hat{C} \subset \hat{X}$ containing p projects to a curve C on X. The curve C meets $\mathrm{Sing}(X)$ in at most finitely many points, thus Lemma 3.3 applies and we have the assumptions of Theorem 2.6 fulfilled. So $\hat{X}$ is isomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}_n$. Hence $X \simeq \mathbb{P}_n$ too. □

Acknowledgement. I want to thank Prof. Dr. Thomas Peternell for his guidance and support and Dr. Patrick Graf for his advice in many occasions, proofreading and especially for hinting me towards [GK14, Theorem 4.2]. In addition I thank Andreas Demleitner and Dr. Florian Schrack for proofreading, their advice and countless conversations.

REFERENCES

[AKP08] Marian Aprodu, Stefan Kebekus, and Thomas Peternell, *Galois coverings and endomorphisms of projective varieties*, Math. Z. **260** (2008), no. 2, 431–449. MR 2429621

[Anc82] Vincenzo Ancona, *Faisceaux amples sur les espaces analytiques*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), no. 1, 89–100. MR 670921

[AW01] Marco Andreatta and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 1, 209–217. MR 1859022

[Bal93] Edoardo Ballico, *On singular varieties with ample tangent bundle*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **4** (1993), no. 1, 1–10. MR 1213317

[CMSB02] Koji Cho, Yoichi Miyaoka, and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds*, Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 35, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002, pp. 1–88. MR 1929792

[Deb06] Olivier Debarre, *On coverings of simple abelian varieties*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **134** (2006), no. 2, 253–260. MR 2233707

[GK14] Patrick Graf and Sándor J. Kovács, *An optimal extension theorem for 1-forms and the Lipman-Zariski conjecture*, Doc. Math. **19** (2014), 815–830. MR 3247804

[GKK10] Daniel Greb, Stefan Kebekus, and Sándor J. Kovács, *Extension theorems for differential forms and Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing on log canonical varieties*, Compos. Math. **146** (2010), no. 1, 193–219. MR 2581247

[GPR94] H. Grauert, Th. Peternell, and R. Remmert (eds.), *Several Complex Variables VII*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1994.

[Keb02] Stefan Kebekus, *Characterizing the projective space after Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 147–155. MR 1922103

[Kol07] János Kollár, *Lectures on resolution of singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 166, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007. MR 2289519

[Kub70] Kazuji Kubota, *Ample sheaves*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I A Math. **17** (1970), 421–430. MR 0292849

[Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 49, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals. MR 2095472

[MM86] Yoichi Miyaoka and Shigefumi Mori, *A numerical criterion for uniruledness*, Ann. of Math. (2) **124** (1986), no. 1, 65–69. MR 847952

[Mor79] Shigefumi Mori, *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 593–606. MR 554387